INFORMAL STUDY AND RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING CORROSION

MATERIALS

ADVISORY

CLEARINGHOUSE
BOARD

FOR FEDERAL SCIENTIFIC AD

TECHNICAL INFORMATION

Hardcopy Microfiche

\$ /.00 \$.50

RELEASABLE TO

OCT 14 1966

Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information (CFSTI)

National Academy of Sciences— National Research Council

MATERIALS ADVISORY BOARD DIVISION OF ENGINEERING NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES-NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

Chairman

Dr. Walter L. Finlay (1968) Assistant Vice President, Research Copper Range Company 630 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10020

Members

Mr. G. Mervin Ault + 1970) Associate Chief Materials & Structure Division Lewis Research Center, NASA 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Dr. J. II. Crawford, Jr. (1969) Associate Director Solid State Division Oak Ridge National Laboratory Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831

Dr. Morris E. Fine, Professor (1967) Department of Materials Science Materials Research Center Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201

Dr. N. Bruce Hannay (1969) Chemical Director Bell Telephone Laboratories Murray Hill, New Jersey 07971

Dr. William J. Harris, Jr. (1970) Assistant to the Vice President Battelle Memoriai Institute 1755 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Mr. Abraham Hurlich (1970) Manager, Materials & Processes Department 572-0 General Dynamics Convair P. O. Box 1128 San Diego, California 92112

Mr. J. Harry Jackson (1968) General Director Metallurgical Research Division Reynolds Metals Company Fourth & Canal Streets Richmond, Virginia 23218

Mr. Humboldt W. Leverenz (1968) Staff Vice President Research & Business Evaluation RCA Laboratories David Sarroff Research Center Princeton, New Jersey 08540

Mr. Alan Levy, Manager (1967)
Materials & Fabrication
Research & Development Department
Solid Rocket Operations
Aerojet-General Corporation
P. O. Box 1947
Sacramento, California 95809

Mr. Louis R. McCreight, Manager (1970) Materials Science Section Space Sciences Laboratory General Electric Company P. O. Box 8555 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

Past Chairman

Dr. Walter R. Hibbard, Jr., Director (1968) Bureau of Mines Department of Interior Room 4614 Washington, D. C. 20240

Dr. D. J. McPherson (1967) Vice President IIT Research Institute 10 West 35th Street Chicago, Illinois 60616

Dr. E. F. Osborn (1969) Vice President for Research Pennsylvania State University 207 Old Main Building University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Professor Joseph A. Pask (1968) Professor of Ceramic Engineering Department of Mineral Technology University of California 264 Hearst Mining Building Berkeley, California 94720

Dr. Malcolm M. Renfrew, Head (1967) Department of Physical Sciences University of Idaho Moscow, Idaho 83843

Dr. William Rostoker (1970)
Professor of Metallurgy
Department of Materials Engineering
College of Engineering
University of Illinois
Box 4348
Chicago, Illinois 60680

Mr. Adolph O. Schaefer (1969) Consulting Engineer R. D. 4 Norristown, Pennsylvania 19401

Dean Robert D. Stout (1968) Graduate School Lehigh University Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015

Dr. Morris Tanenbaum (1969) Director of Research and Development Western Electric Company P. O. Box 900 Princeton. New Jersey 08540

Mr. Alfred C. Webber (1968) Research Associate Plastics Department Experimental Station Building 323, Room 210 E. I. duPont de Nemours & Co., Inc. Wilmington, Delaware 19898

Mr. F. Travers Wood, Jr., Director (1968) Engineering Laboratories and Services Missile & Space Systems Division Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc. 3000 Ocean Park Blvd. Santa Monica, California 90406 THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES is a private, honorary organization of more than 700 scientists and engineers elected on the basis of outstanding contributions to knowledge. Established by a Congressional Act of Incorporation signed by Abraham Lincoln on March 3, 1663, and supported by private and public funds, the Academy works to further science and its use for the general welfare by bringing together the most qualified individuals to deal with scientific and technological problems of broad significance.

Under the terms of its Congressional charter, the Academy is also called upon to act as official—yet independent—adviser to the Federal Government in any matter of science and technology. This provision accounts for the close ties that have always existed between the Academy and the Government, alhough the Academy is not a governmental agency and its activities are not limited to those on behalf of the Government.

THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF ENGINEERING was established on December 5, 1964. On that date the Council of the National Academy of Sciences, under the authority of its Act of Incorporation, adopted Articles of Organization bringing the National Academy of Engineering into being, independent and autonomous in its organization and the election of its members, and closely coordinated with the National Academy of Sciences in its advisory activities. The two Academies join in the furtherance of science and engineering and share the responsibility of advising the Federal Government, upon request, on any subject of science or technology.

THE NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL was organized as an agency of the National Academy of Sciences in 1916, at the request of President Wilson, to enable the broad community of U. S. scientists and egnineers to associate their efforts with the limited membership of the Academy in service to science and the nation. Its members, who receive their appointments from the President of the National Academy of Sciences, are drawn from academic, industrial and government organizations throughout the country. The National Research Council serves both Academies in the discharge of their responsibilities.

Supported by private and public contributions, grants, and contracts, and voluntary contributions of time and effort by several thousand of the nation's leading scientists and engineers, the Academies and their Research Council thus work to serve the national interest, to foster the sound development of science and engineering, and to promote their effective application for the benefit of society.

THE DIVISION OF ENGINEERING is one of the eight major Divisions into which the National Research Council is organized for the conduct of its work. Its membership includes representatives of the nation's leading technical societies as well as a number of members-at-large. Its Chairman is appointed by the Council of the Academy of Sciences upon nomination by the Council of the Academy of Engineering.

THE MATERIALS ADVISORY BOARD is a unit of the Division of Engineering of the National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council. It was organized in 1951 under the name of the Metallurgical Advisory Board to provide to the Academy advisory services and studies in the broad field of metallurgical science and technology. Since the organization date, the scope has been expanded to include organic and inorganic nonmetallic materials, and the name has been changed to the Materials Advisory Board.

Under a contract between the Office of the Secretary of Defense and the National Academy of Sciences, the Board's present assignment is

"... to conduct studies, surveys, make critical analyses, and prepare and furnish to the Director of Defense Research and Engineering advisory and technical reports, with respect to the entire field of materials research, including the planning phases thereof."

INFORMAL STUDY AND RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING CORROSION

Prepared by the

Materials Advisory Board

Division of Engineering - National Research Council

as a service of
The National Academy of Sciences
and
The National Academy of Engineering
to the
Office of Defense Research and Engineering
Department of Defense

RELEASABLE TO

Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information (CFSTI)

National Research Council

National Academy of Sciences - National Academy of Engineering

Washington, D. C.

August 1966

The National Research Council, under the cognizance of both Academies, performs study, evaluation, or advisory functions through groups composed of individuals selected from academic, governmental, and industrial scurces for their competence or interest in the subject under consideration. Members of these groups serve as individuals contributing their personal knowledge and judgments and not as representatives of any organization in which they are employed or with which they may be associated.

No portion of this report may be published without prior approval of the contracting agency.

This report is a study undertaken by the Materials Advisory Board for the National Academy of Sciences in partial execution of work under Defense Supply Service Contract Number DA-49-083 OSA 313, between the Department of Defense and the National Academy of Sciences.

MATERIALS ADVISORY BOARD

STUDY GROUP ON CORROSION

Chairman: Dr. David A. Vermilyea

Research & Development Center

General Electric Company

P. O. Box 8

Schenectady, New York 12301

Members

Dr. Morris Cohen National Research Council Division of Applied Chemicals Montreal Road Ottawa, Ontario, Canada Dr. Jerome Kruger Corrosion Section National Bureau of Standards Washington, D. C.

Mr. Julius J. Harwood Ford Motor Company Scientific Laboratory Dearborn, Michigan Dr. W. D. Robertson Yale University Hammond Laboratory New Haven, Connecticut 06520

Dr. J. D. Sudbury Continental Oil Company Drawer 1267 Ponca City, Oklahoma

MAB Staff: Dr. Joseph R. Lane Staff Metallurgist

Materials Advisory Board
National Academy of SciencesNational Research Council
2101 Constitution Avenue
Washington, D. C. 20418

ABSTRACT

A broad look was taken of the corrosion problem in order to recommend whether or not a deeper study by another committee was needed. There was agreement as to the unsatisfactory manner in which current corrosion problems are usually handled, and regarding the inadequate training of engineers.

The formation of a group to provide documentation on a number of specific items, which are listed, was strongly recommended. The topics requiring such study include a summary of the major corrosion problems, our capabilities for attacking corrosion problems, the effectiveness of present methods, and areas ripe for improvement in dealing with corrosion.

CONTENTS

			PAGE
MEMBERSHIP	•	•	 iii
ABSTRACT	•	•	 v
CORROSION STUDY	•	•	 1
APPENDIX A. ATTENDEES	•	•	 6

Membership is shown on Page iii, met in Washington, D. C., on June 28, 1966, to discuss the handling of corrosion problems. Also present at the meeting were several representatives from the Department of Defense, the Navy, the Army, and the C.I.A. The purpose of the meeting was the over-all examination of the corrosion problem in its broadest aspects and implications, in order to determine the merit and need for establishing another committee to examine this subject in greater depth. The assignment to survey the problem followed expressions of concern made by prominent corrosion experts directly to the National Academy of Sciences.

An assessment of the importance of corrosion problems was sought first, especially to the Department of Defense but also to the economy as a whole, through statements by and discussions with the guests present and from personal knowledge of the members of the committee. We concluded that corrosion represents a problem of enormous expense, great inconvenience, and considerable danger to human life. For illustration, consider corrosion problems encountered in military aircraft. Improved power plants and auxiliary equipment have forced radical design changes which involve the use of higher trength materials. The susceptibility of such materials to intergranular attack, stress corrosion cracking, and hydrogen embrittlement, coupled with the difficulty of adequate inspection, make corrosion protection mandatory. The dollar cost of such maintenance is enormous; great inconvenience is caused by the hours of maintenance required for each hour of flight time; and there is considerable risk to personnel should

Corrosion is here defined as "The Reaction of Metals with Their Environment".

protection fail. While the situation is "lived with" the present solutions are far from satisfactory. Not only is the effectiveness of present equipment and devices severely hampered by corrosion but also the development of improved devices and new equipment is increasingly limited by the threat of corrosion. Examples discussed included aircraft structures, aircraft and other gas turbines, deep sea vessels, high speed ships, and electronic equipment.

We discussed at length the way corrosion problems are dealt with today. The committee felt that its factual information about the field of corrosion was very inadequate so that in assessing the area we were forced to rely mainly on our general knowledge and experience. We felt strongly that considering the importance of the problem corrosion receives far less attention in educational institutes than it deserves. A result, and to some extent a cause, of this situation is that the field is not highly regarded by scien ists and engineers—it lacks "glamour". A further result is that the best students in science and engineering are not attracted to the field, which means that outstanding people trained in corrosion are hard to find. Finally, the science underlying corrosion is in part neglected or inadequately performed. It was also our strong feeling that a thorough study would reveal that corrosion research has resulted directly in practical applications—some examples of such immediate results of research were reported by Dr. Sudbury.

With regard to the development of corrosion resistant materials and of methods for protection against corrosion we felt that while the performance of the United States is perhaps equal to that of other nations.

nevertheless our methods are to some extent haphazard, unsophisticated, and unsystematic. In some important instances solutions have been arrived at more or less by accident, and we cannot rely on chance alone to insure satisfactory future performance.

Many problems in the corrosion area are caused by difficulties in communication between science and applied engineering and between applied engineering and design engineering. The training in corrosion received by engineers is felt to be highly inadequate in many, if not most, instances. The books and handbooks available leave much to be desired.

The committee was unanimous in the belief that the present handling of current corrosion problems and the development of scientific knowledge on which to base future solutions is certainly not satisfactory. As a first step toward improving our capability it is our recommendation that a committee be established by the Materials Advisory Board or by some other appropriate agency to make a thorough study of the field of corrosion. The object of the committee should be to provide information on which an accurate assessment of the area of corrosion can be made to permit the development of plans for future action. Members of the committee should represent the wide variety of scientific disciplines on which the understanding of corrosion is based as well as the areas of corrosion protection and design engineering.

Specifically, we feel that the proposed committee should seek to provide documentation and answers to at least the following questions:

1. What are the major corrosion problems, and how do they affect performance?

- A. Limitations on development
- B. Loss of service of equipment.
- C. Cost of corrosion prevention and maintenance to correct corrosion damage in relation to equipment cost.
- D. Loss of human life.
- E. Major technical problems, present and future.
- 2. What are our capabilities for attacking corrosion problems?
 - A. Survey of the people active in corrosion work with regard to type of work, training, experience.
 - B. Survey of the laboratories engaged in corrosion work
 - C. Survey of educational institutions in which corrosion is taught.
 - D. Survey of sources of corrosion information.
 - E. Survey of the level of corrosion knowledge, or at least formal training, among engineers not engaged directly in corrosion work.
 - F. Survey of opportunities to attack corrosion arising from advances in other fields of science.
- 3. How effective are our present methods?
 - A. Case histories of solutions to problems.
 - B. Document connection between research and application.
 - C. Comparison with experience in other nations.
 - D. How well can we predict corrosion behavior?
- 4. How could we improve our ability to deal with corrosion?
 - A. Teaching.
 - B. Research.

- C. Dissemination of information.
- D. Establishment of new institutions.
- E. Establishment of new sources of funds for support.

In summary, the informal committee has a strong feeling that the current means and techniques of coping with corrosion problems is inadequate, and believes it to be of the utmost importance that a thorough study be made as suggested.

APPENDIX A

Attendees

Washington, D. C.

June 28, 1966

Conmittee Members

David A. Vermilyea, General Electric Company (Chairman)

Morris Cohen, National Research Council, Canada

Julius J. Harwood, Ford Scientific Laboratory

Jerome Kruger, National Bureau of Standards

William D. Robertson, Yale University

John D. Sudbury, Continental Oil Company

MAB Staff

Joseph R. Lane, Materials Advisory Board

Guests

- N. E. Promisel, Naval Air Systems Command
- T. Kearns, Naval Air Systems Command
- W. L. Williams, Marine Engineering Laboratory
- R. A. Meussner, Naval Research Laboratory
- J. Barrett, Department of Defense
- Earl T. Hayes, Department of Defense
- M. Jacobson, Army Materials Research Agency
- J. Majowicz, Office Chief of Research & Development, Army

Alice Padgett, Central Intelligence Agency

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CO (Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexi	NTROL DATA - R&		the everall report to classified)			
1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		20. REPOR	RT SECURITY C LASSIFICATION			
National Academy of Sciences-National	Research Counc	1	Unclassified			
Materials Advisory Board, Washington,		20 680UF				
3 REPORT TITLE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Informal study & recommendations regain	RDING CORROSION					
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)						
5 AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)						
Informal Study Group on Corrosion, Materials Advisory Board						
6. REPORT DATE	74. TOTAL NO. OF P	AGES	75. NO. OF REFS			
August 1966	10					
Sa. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	Se. ORIGINATOR'S RE	PORT NUM	BER(\$)			
DA-49-083 OSA 313 Defense Supply Servi	ce MAB-22	7 - M				
с.	SA. OTHER REPORT	NO(S) (Any	other numbers that may be easigied			
	this report)					
d.	<u> </u>					
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES						
Qualified requesters may obtain copies CFSTI.	of this repor	t from 1	DDC. Releasable to			
1:. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILI	TARY ACTI	VITY			
	ODDR&E, The	Pentago	n, Washington, D. C.			

13. ABSTRACT

A broad look was taken of the corrosion problem in order to recommend whether or not a deeper study by another committee was needed. There was agreement as to the unsatisfactory manner in which current corrosion problems are usually handled, and regarding the inadequate training of engineers.

The formation of a group to provide documentation on a number of specific items, which are listed, was strongly recommended. The topics requiring such study include a summary of the major corrosion problems, our capabilities for attacking corrosion problems, the effectiveness of present methods, and areas ripe for improvement in dealing with corrosion.

DD 15084 1473

Unclassified

Security Classification

Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK D		LINK C		
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	
		1	!				
ĺ	•	1					1
İ							
ł .]	
ļ		İ			[
			1		Ì	i	
İ		1		İ	1	ł	
				į			j
				į	i	1	
				ļ			
					1	Į.	
İ							
i							
į.							

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.
- 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Date" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate accurity regulations.
- 2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.
- 3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclsssified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.
- 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, aummary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.
- 5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.
- 6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.
- 7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pagea containing information.
- 7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.
- 8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.
- 8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such sa project number, subproject number, aystem numbers, task number, etc.
- 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.
- 9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been sasigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponaor), also enter this number(s).
- 10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by accurity classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known

- 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.
- 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY. Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.
- 13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abatract giving s brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elaewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheat shall be attached.

It is highly deairable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the sbatract. However, the auggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically mesningful terms or short phrases that characterizes report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The essignment of links, reles, and weights is optional.

DD 5084, 1473 (BACK)

Unclassified